

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/065,134

Applicant(s)

PARRILLO ET AL.

Examiner

Jennine M. Brown

Art Unit

1755

## All Participants:

(1) Jennine M. Brown.

(2) Patricia DeSimone.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2002

Time: 3:35 PM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*All relevant claims.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Newly cited prior art documents detailed in the PTO 892.*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Prior art with SAPOs not considered metal oxide alkylation catalysts because silicon-phosphorus oxides are not considered metals. Surfactants used to create a metal oxide do not necessarily form pores therefore cannot be considered a pore former. Claims 14 and 37 will be amended to incorporate the newly added material from claim 1..